SECTION OF		
		THE STATE OF THE S
		T Test
III S 4 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	The state of the s	CT   CT   TW
GONFIDENTIAL AND RECORD SHEET  Should be returned to Registry should be returned to Registry  TELEPHONE NO. 10 DATE  TELEPHONE NO. 10 DAT		SAN THE STATE OF T
ME ORD		
AND, RECOR		
AND AND Should be should be		
FOLLTING FOL		
	17.27.2 17.27.2 17.27.2 17.27.2	
		2.5

د

			ī
VIA:	ATR		'.
- 11-11	(SPECIFY-AIR C	OR SEA POUCE	O.

D. ATCH NO. EGBA-31017

# SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

TO

Chief, EE

DATE:

APR 17:

FROM

OHIGI, ME

Chief of Base, Berlin

enpor cos

SUBJECT: GENERAL— Operational/LCGASSOCK/GADRIER/GADRAIN

SPECIFIC-GADRIER, Technical Interrogation Report

Forwarded herewith is the technical interrogation and examination of Subject.

Approved PKR

MTP: epo 12 April 1956

Distribution:

3 - EE w/encl. in trip. h/w and encl. in trip. UNSEPCOV (DIRECT)
3 - COS w/encl. in trip. h/w and encl. in trip. UNSEPCOV

Encls:

(1) Interrogation Report h/w, as noted

(2) Identity UNSEPCOV

E6BA-31017

SECRET

CLASSIFICATION

RI COPY

FORM NO. 51-28 A

ATTACHMENT TO EGBA-31017

Operational/ICCASSOCK/CADRIER/CADRAIN

Subject: CADRIER, Technical Interrogation Report

- l. Subject was afforded a technical examination at a safehouse in Berlin on 22 March 1956, in accordance with the request of — , case officer.
  - 2. Type of Case:
- a. Subject is a 38-year-old German national, principal agent of the LCCASSOCK project. He supervises editorial and distribution activity of a 15-man staff plus mailing and hand distribution organizations. He conceives, edits, supervises production and distribution of other propaganda items on the basis of analysis of the DDR press.
- b. Reason for the test was in connection with the legalization of the LCCASSOCK project and the writing of a KUBARK/CADRIER agent contract.
  - c. The test was broken down into three phases, as follows --
    - Phase I Secret operational contacts with other intelligence organizations.
    - Phase II- Accounting of KUBARK funds.
    - Phase III Visit of CAUTERY-1, CABANJO and Subject to Frankfurt.

  - 3. Questions, Answers, and Analysis:
    - Phase I Secret operational contacts with other intelligence organizations
    - 1. Is your name \_\_\_\_\_? Answer: Yes. (No reaction)
    - 2. Is that your true name? Answer: Yes. (No reaction)
    - 3. Do you carry on any secret operational contacts with intelligence organizations other than (KUBARK)? Answer: No. (Weak reaction) Subject stated that he had numerous contacts of an official nature with other intelligence services and that he had thought of these.

ATTACHMENT TO EGBA-31017 Page 2

- 3a. Do you carry on any secret operational contacts with a British intelligence organization? Answer: No. (No reaction)
- 3b. Do you carry on any secret operational contacts with (ZIPPER)?
  Answer: No. (No reaction)
- 3c. Do you carry on any secret operational contacts with an East Zone intelligence organization? Answer: No. (No reaction)
- 4. Do you receive concealed or secret money from a source other than (KUBARK)? Answer: No. (Weak reaction) Subject explained that the question of outside funds was a complicated one for him, as it was for other ICCASSOCK staffers, since most of the journalists there produced free-lance material for sale to various West German publications and other media.
- 4a. To the best of your knowledge, does any other member (of LCCASSOCK) receive concealed or secret money from a source other than (KUBARK)? Answer: No. (Weak reaction) See Question 4 above.
  - A. CONTROL: Have you ever discussed your activity with us with an unauthorized person? Answer: No. (No reaction)
- 5. Were you born ? Answer: Yes. (Weak reaction)
  Subject reacted irrelevantly to this question of known fact.
- 6. Do you now have any secret connections we don't know about?
  Answer: No. (No reaction)
- B. CONTROL: Is there anything in your life you'd like to hide?
  Answer: No. (Weak reaction) Subject stated afterward that
  he had thought about his first marriage at the question.

#### Phase II - Accounting of KUBARK funds

- 13. Do you now live in \_\_\_\_? Answer: Yes. (No reaction)
- 14. Have you ever been in Moscow? Answer: No. (No reaction)
- 15. Do all your accountings in the last twelve months to (KUBARK) representatives correspond to the actual money flow (Geld-fluss)? Answer: Yes. (Weak reaction) The most significant

ATTACHMENT TO EGBA-31017
Page 3

aspect of this question was Subject's request during the pre-test discussion to change the question from "expenditures" (Ausgaben) to "money flow" (Geldfluss). Subject commenced an explanation of the semantics involved but an interruption occurred and the topic was sidetracked. Whether the matter reflects merely Subject's inability to cope with a petty-cash problem (he frankly admits himself to be no great shakes at bookkeeping) or whether something more lies behind the question could not be determined by examiner.

- 16. Are you convinced that Dr. and Dr. (accounting firm) performs and has performed his audit of (LCCASSOCK) in the best sense of an independent accountant? Answer: Yes. (No reaction)
  - D. CONTROL: Have you ever described or confirmed (KUBARK) as the power behind (LCCASSOCK)? Answer: No. (Weak reaction) See E. CONTROL, below.
- Answer: No. (Weak reaction) Of interest is Subject's dissatisfaction with the question as it was first put to him: "Do you know how the disappearance of the missing 5,000 marks last year can be explained?" Subject stated that for him to answer that question would be in effect to accuse some person or persons. Subject stated further that he possessed no concrete facts and in the absence of such facts would prefer not to deal in terms of supposition. Subject's reaction to the question as rewritten is quite slight and is probably not due to deception but to Subject's vivid awareness that the question was paramount. On one test Subject failed to react to the question at all.
  - E. CONTROL: To the best of your knowledge, has an (LCCASSOCK) staff member or contributor ever described or confirmed (KUBARK) as the power behind (LCCASSOCK)? Answer: No. (Reaction) This question produced Subject's strongest reaction during the test. His explanation was that he had thought of an episode ca. July 1955 when he and Identity 1 had paid a visit to Bonn and Identity 1 was reported to have identified LCCASSOCK's backer as KUBARK, using true initials. Subject stated that he had had to prepare an exhaustive report upon the affair.

ATTACHMENT TO EGBA-31017
Page 4

17a. Do you know definitely where the money in question went to?

Answer: No. (Weak reaction) Subject stated that when the
question was put the names of Identity 2 and Identity 1 flashed
into his mind, in that order, but that he knew nothing concrete
about the disappearance of the money and was unwilling to voice
mere suppositions.

#### Phase III - Visit of CAUTERY-1, CABANJO and Subject to Frankfurt

- 31. Did what you wanted to discuss with \_\_\_\_\_; on the 3rd of January have to do directly with (LCCASSOCK)? Answer: No. (No reaction)
- 32. Are you convinced that (CABANJO) at the appointed time had something important about Bonn relationships apropos the CAUTELA case to report? Answer: Yes. (No reaction)
  - 33. Is there anything about the (CABANJO) case that you want to hide from us? Answer: No. (No reaction)

#### CONCLUSIONS:

- a. The test must be rated inconclusive for the following reasons:
  - (1) Subject reacted inconsistently to pertinent questions.
  - (2) Subject's personality make-up made him a poor subject for testing.
  - (3) The area of questioning contained so many exceptions, inherent contradictions and complexities, that examiner was not able to establish the proper psychological setting for the examination.
- b. Subject appears to be an outstanding individual and something of an amazing personality. A U-boat commander at 22 and a <u>Ritterkreuztrager</u>, he appears to be personally incorruptible; indeed, the question of the missing 5,000 marks exercised Subject more as a lapse of proper business procedure than as a question of theft. Subject's rapid-fire thought processes and subtlety of mind render him an interesting conversationalist but a poor candidate for testing, i.e., he reacted irrelevantly many times during testing to the fact that his mind raced ahead of the question.
- c. Subject did not appear to resent testing; in fact, he stated that in his opinion such a test would be worthwhile for all LCCASSOCK employees.

DISSEMINATION OF ANY PORTION OF THIS REPORT MUST BE VERBATIM.

SECRET

#### SEPARATE COVER ATTACHMENT TO EGBA-31017

Identity 1 - Werner SIMMERMACHER

Identity 2 - Ernst Eduard Alfred MARQUARDT

12 April 1956

Distribution:
3 - EE (DIRECT)
3 - COS